

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,427 07/07/2003		Michael Moser	11403/35	7485	
26646	7590	02/24/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON		ON		LOUIS JACQUE	S, JACQUES H
ONE BROA		0004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3661	
				DATE MAIL ED: 02/24/200	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V	
1	

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/615,427	MOSER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jacques H Louis-Jacques	3661	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutery minimum of thirty (30) days will be a

- If NO p - Failure Any re	period for reply is specified above, the maximum s	tatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	utory minimum of tritry (30) days will be considered timely. ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. lication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mmunication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status			
1)⊠ I	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>24 November 2</u>	<u>004</u> .
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.
		•	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
(closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte Qi</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition	on of Claims		
4)⊠ (Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the	application.	
4	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8,9,13 and</u>	<u>d 14</u> is/are withdrawn	from consideration.
5)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>2-7</u> is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected	d.	·
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)∐ (Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election r	equirement.
Application	on Papers		
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b)	objected to by the Examiner.
,	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) t	be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
12)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) <u></u>	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
•	 Certified copies of the priority 	documents have bee	n received.
	•		n received in Application No
3			ents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the Internation	•	` ''
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certi	fied copies not received.
Attachment(iel		
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I		Paper No(s)/Mail Date
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/615,427

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuroda et al [5,675,518] in view of Yoshikawa et al [6,480,787].

Kuroda et al discloses an inter-vehicle distance measurement apparatus and method for automotive, wherein an inter-vehicle distance between a host vehicle and a vehicle in front is determined using inertial sensors mounted at the vehicles (e.g., inter-vehicle distance measuring apparatus). See figure 2, column 1. Kuroda et al also discloses an inter-vehicle distance difference by comparing a plurality of inter-vehicle distances between the two vehicles to determine whether there is an error (column 2). See also column 3-5. In column 6, Kuroda et al discloses that GPS measurements may be used to determine if there is an error in the inter-vehicle distance determination, thus an intervehicle distance is obtained using GPS. As shown in figures 17 and 18 and described in column 9, Kuroda uses signals from outside of the vehicle, i.e., signals from a GPS. Based on the GPS signals or measurements, inter-vehicle distance is corrected. However, Kuroda et al does not particular disclose that the GPS signals (measurements) are obtained at both vehicles. Yoshikawa et al, on the other hand, discloses a GPS receiving system a first moving object A and a second moving object B, wherein both moving

Application/Control Number: 10/615,427

objects (A and B) comprise a GPS receiver (!A and 1B) for receiving GPS signals. See figure 1. Based on the received GPS signals or measurements, a relative navigation unit (7) determines relative position, relative velocity, thus a relative distance. See also figures 5-12. Yoshikawa et al discloses that both the preceding vehicle and the following vehicle comprise a GPS receiver unit (12, 22). See figure 13 and columns 1-2. Additionally, Yoshikawa et al discloses receiving GPS signal and determining GPS pseudo range of the vehicle. Yoshikawa et al discloses a processor for determining the inter-vehicle distance between the vehicles based on the pseudo range of the vehicle and GPS measurements. See figures 7-10. The communication unit of both Kuroda et al and Yoshikawa et al is wireless, in that it receives and sending wave signals when the vehicles at close proximity, e.g., 10 km. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the inter-vehicle distance measurement apparatus of Kuroda et al by incorporating the GPS measurements at both vehicles from the GPS receiving system of Yoshikawa et al because such modification would provide a highly accurate measurement of the relative (inter-vehicle) distance between the two vehicles.

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-7 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Response to Amendments & Arguments

4. The amendments along with the arguments filed therewith on November 24, 2004 have been entered and carefully considered by the examiner.

Applicant has amended claims 2 and 4. Claims 8-9 and 13-14 were withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1-7 and 10-12 are currently under examination. Amended claims 2 and 4 and claims 5-7 are allowed.

Regarding the rejection of claims 1-3 and 10-12, Applicant argued that "the examiner alleges that Kuroda discloses the use of GPS measurements to obtain an inter-vehicle distance." Applicant also argued that Kuroda does not disclose "determining a first intervehicle distance between the moving vehicle and a second vehicle based on GPS measurements obtained at both vehicles." According to Applicant, "there is no disclosure of either: a) receiving GPS signals at more than one vehicle; or, more significantly, b) taking GPS measurements." Applicant then asserted that "Kuroda does not disclose or suggest determining distances from GPS signal, but rather, only discloses using the 1.575 GHz signal from GPS satellites as a reference frequency."

However, Applicant failed to appreciate the embodiment shown in figures 17 and 18 and described in column 9. Kuroda uses signals from outside of the vehicle, i.e., signals from a GPS. Based on the GPS signals or measurements, inter-vehicle distance is corrected.

Notwithstanding, however, Applicant's argument regarding the use of GPS at both vehicles, the patent to Yoshikawa et al [6,480,787] has been introduced for disclosing such feature.

As shown in figure 1, Yoshikawa et al discloses a first moving object A and a second moving object B, wherein both moving objects (A and B) comprise a GPS receiver (!A and 1B) for receiving GPS signals. Based on the received GPS signals or measurements, a relative navigation unit (7) determines relative position, relative velocity, thus a relative

Art Unit: 3661

distance. See also figures 5-12. In particular, even in referring to the prior art, Yoshikawa et al discloses that both the preceding vehicle and the following vehicle comprise a GPS receiver unit (12, 22). See figure 13 and columns 1-2.

In light of the above, claims 1 and 10 are rejected. Claim 3 is allowable because the prior art does not discloses the claimed features of generating test series data at each vehicle for each pair of vehicles receiving GPS signal, wherein the test series data for each pair comprises a difference between a first inter-vehicle distance between the pair of vehicles calculated based on the GPS data and a second inter-vehicle distance independently calculated based on INS sensors in each of the pair of vehicles.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

4,743,913	Takai	May 1988
6,029,496	Kreft	Feb. 2000
JP08086853A	Yoshida et al	Apr. 1996
IP10002743A	Otomo et al	Ian 1008

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques H Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is 703-305-9757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 703-305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacques H Louis-Jacques Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3661